Content analysis based evaluation of library websites: a case study

Ksh. Krishna Devi^a and Manoj Kumar Verma^{b*}

^aAssistant Professor, Department of Library & Information Science, Assam Women's University, Jorhat, Assam, Email: krsna.devi@gmail.com

^bAssistant Professor, Department of Library and Information Science, Mizoram University, Aizawl-796004, Email: manojdlisbhu@gmail.com

Received: 16 May 2018; revised and accepted: 01 October 2018

One hundred and seventy two parameters and a five-point rating scale have been designed to rank IITs and NITs library websites. A detailed criterion has been developed with two variables "Yes" and "No" while conditional and additional scenarios were added as per the requirement. The present study was carried out on the library website/web page of the 19 IITs and 29 NITs. The study reveals that the score point of IIT Kanpur library website placed in the first position and NIT Agartala placed in the last position among all the engineering institution taken for the study. The study further suggested that the evaluation of libraries' website must be done frequently so as to check the structure and information available in the website as well as to keep the website updated.

Keywords: Content analysis; Web content analysis; Website evaluation; Websites

Introduction

The advent of information communication and technology has substantially changed the role of the library professionals. Internet and websites have become the most popular information and communication tools. Like other websites, library websites also came into being in the 1990s. As soon as the first web browser, Mosiac was released in 1993, the academic health science libraries began developing their websites¹. It is essential to have an idea about the dimensions of online interactivity so that websites can be designed and developed in such a way so as to facilitate interaction between the website and library users³.

The library professionals must keep in mind that the content richness of the websites depends upon the collections, services and the facilities they offer to their patrons. The present paper highlights the different criteria for evaluating the library websites of IITs and NITs.

Review of literature

Fogg⁴ et. al. evaluated 51 different website elements on credibility with 7-point-Likert-type scale.

Kannappanavar and Biradar⁵ analyzed various aspects of the credibility of 37 websites of the Dental Colleges in Karnataka. A checklist of 26 criteria under four headings was designed and the websites were evaluated based on the previous studies.

George⁶ has evaluated the usability testing and design of Carnegie Mellon Libraries website. The study emphasized on think-aloud protocols for usability testing of websites. The study reveals that the protocols have several weaknesses of navigation, screen design and so on where testing indicates that colour and graphics attract attention; font and labels increase visibility; keywords increase readability; and consistency in design increases usability. Islam and Tsuji⁷ have evaluated the usage of 20 university websites of Bangladesh. The authors have developed 23 usability criteria broadly classified into five categories for questionnaire tool. Walia and Gupta⁸ evaluated the homepage of 23 selected National libraries of Asia, maintained in English language. 36 checklists were designed based on the guidelines given by Jacob Nielson and NIC (National Informative Centre) which was grouped in 8 major criteria.

Clyde⁹ has given ten advices for library website designers. Shukla and Tripathy¹⁰ examined the

^{*}Author for correspondence

contents of the library website and made a comparative study among the 20 central universities and 19 institutes of national importance including the IITs and IIMs. They established a method to measure the overall website performance calculations (OWPC) and criteria-wise website performance calculation (CWPC). Kalra and Verma¹¹ evaluated 46 selected research institute library websites, quantitatively and qualitatively. Usability and usefulness are the two major components that the authors have covered for evaluation of the indicators.

Clausen¹² conducted an empirical survey to 12 Danish academic library websites. Thirty-eight website evaluation criteria has been derived from earlier studies which was further divided into 6 categories; design and structure, quality of information, links and navigation, aesthetic impression, miscellaneous, and general assessment. Osorio¹³ analysed the contents of the home pages from 45 websites of science-engineering libraries from the universities in the USA and Canada using a prototyping model to access content and design.

Still¹⁴ studied the university library websites in four English speaking countries and examined 150 library websites which has access to their own library catalogue and other databases. Adams and Cassner¹⁵ studied the content and design of 48 Academic library websites for distance learners of the ARL institutions. They developed 43 elements where 15 elements were related to design of the websites and 28 with content elements.

Brower¹ analysed 41 academic health sciences library website and the navigational tools were also analysed for layout, technology, and links. He developed 40 criteria under four broad specific areas, such as, general information of library, website aids and tools, library services, and electronic resources. Clyde¹⁶ conducted a content analysis of 50 school library websites from nine countries over a time span from 1996 to 2002. The author identified the changes in the website over a period of time and it was found that 12 additional items of content in the web pages/sites by 2002.

Michalec¹⁷ conducted a content analysis of 82 art library websites where the author analysed the content, contact details, hours of operation, library collection, the library web pages, library OPAC, link to the parent institution, number of clicks required to navigate library information, local resources, link to search engines, link to reference assistance, the update quotient of the website, etc. Qutab and Mahmood¹⁸ analysed 52 websites of Pakistan, including academic, special, public and national libraries with the help of a 77 item checklist designed for the study. Aharony¹⁹ analyzed 31 academic libraries homepage from the ACRL accredited LIS schools. It is observed in the study that graphics have been used in websites. Yazdi and Deshpande²⁰ evaluated 71 library association websites, using 15 selected Webometric criteria, such as - authority (authors of the website), about association, accessibility, contact us, customer service, search, site map, HTML quality, navigation and links, copyright, presentations (graphic design), user friendliness, FAQ, currency, and coverage of web document.

Wilson²¹ evaluated the contents of 24 Alabama academic library websites which include 14 public and 10 private institutions and further examines the services with design and accessibility standards of the websites. For the study, 32 criteria under 5 broad headings were developed for evaluating the web contents.

In the digital age, Web Content Analysis is an emerging area of research and a challenging task for the library professionals. Devi and Verma²² pointed out the following parameters for measuring the quality of libraries websites which are as under:

- i. Structure, content and services of the libraries websites.
- ii. Patterns of information gathering, graphics and multimedia of libraries websites.
- iii. Aesthetic value, accuracy, currency, accessibility and user friendliness.

Devi and Verma²³ evaluated and compared the web contents of the North Eastern Hill University and Mizoram University. They have developed 73 criteria under 14 broad headings for analyzing the contents where 69 criteria have been analyzed quantitatively. A quantitative three-point-rating scale was designed to determine a meaningful numerical rating. Verma and Devi²⁴ have also analysed the contents available in the library web page/website of 12 Indian Institutes of Management. The authors have developed 112 criteria under 10 broad headings.

Concentrating on designing the criteria of the web content analysis of the library website, the present study is undertaken for the fulfillment of betterment of the design and the contents available in the website.

Scope of the study

The content analysis is a very important segment in the field of library and information science through which one studies about the content of documents. In the present digital era, web has become the master source of information and plays an important role in its dissemination because people became more webs centric to find their information. The present study develops an evaluation criteria for the content analysis of the libraries website. The criteria developed for the study is based on the content available in the libraries website taken for the study. A parameter scale was developed and applied to the IITs and NITs library's website. The study is limited to the library websites of Indian Institutes of Technology (IITs) and National Institutes of Technology (NITs), which are the apex educational institutions of higher education and research in the field of engineering and having the status of institutions of national importance, declared by the Institutes of Technology Act, 1961. The scope of the present study is further limited to 19 IITs and 29 NITs which have functional libraries' website/web page as on March, 2017.

Objectives of the Study

- To develop evaluation criteria for the content analysis of the selected libraries website based on the existing criteria; and
- To find out the rank of the engineering institutes taken for the study on the basis of the parameters developed.

Methodology

The evaluation criteria for the web content analysis of the IITs and NITs library website/web page have been set up keeping in mind the accessing point of the contents from the website by the users. Evaluation criteria with 172 parameters has been designed to analyse the web contents of IITs and NITs libraries based on earlier study of Shukla and Tripathy¹⁰ including the parameters like- availability of the library website, their accessibility, navigation, design of the website, language used, currency etc., (Annexure I). The study categorized in two variables 'Yes' and 'No' and the scores were provided for the answers 'Yes' (1) and 'No' (0) where conditional Yes (+1) and conditional No (-2) and additional (+1/2) scenario was added as per the requirement. Therefore, for the present study of the web content analysis the above mentioned evaluation criteria were followed. The contents were matched with each other and duplicate features were removed from the tabulated data and the similar features (concepts) were grouped at one place. The scoring system was adopted for the website content evaluation.

Score Point adopted for the study according to the Variables are:

Variables	Scores Allotted
Yes (Normal)	+2
No (Normal)	0
Yes (Conditional)	+1
No (Conditional)	0
Yes (Additional)	+1/2

Based on the scoring points 172-parameter point was devised. The five-point rating scale was derived based on the maximum score of 172 evaluation points and the scale of ratings to rank institutions is based on the point as below:

- More than 141 : Excellent
- 106 140 : Very Good
- 71 105 : Good
- 36 70 : Average
- 1-35: Needs Improvement

On the basis of these 172 parameters mentioned in checklist, selected IITs and NITs libraries' websites was checked and evaluated to collect the primary data for the study between the period of 1^{st} November – 30^{th} November, 2016 and the data was again checked and verified between 1^{st} March – 15^{th} March, 2017.

Availability of dedicated library websites in IITs and NITs

A website is a collection of pages identified by a common domain which relates the same topic maintained by a person or a group of persons or an institution or an organization depending on the nature of the website. And the library website is a platform

Table 1—List of IIT Library Websites		of IIT Library Websites	Table 3—Total point so	cored by IITs
Sl.	Name of the IITs	URL of the Library website	Score Point	Name of the IITs
no.	UT Kharagnur		More than 141 Excellent	-
1 2	IIT Kharagpur IIT Bombay	http://www.library.iitkgp.ernet.in/ http://www.library.iitb.ac.in/	106 – 140 Very Good	-
3	IIT Kanpur	http://pkklib.iitk.ac.in/	71 – 105	IIT Kanpur
4	IIT Madras	http://www.cenlib.iitm.ac.in/	Good	IIT Bombay
5	IIT Delhi	http://library.iitd.ac.in/		IIT Kharagpur
6	IIT Guwahati	http://www.iitg.ernet.in/lib/		IIT Delhi
7	IIT Roorkee	http://mgcl.iitr.ac.in/		IIT Gandhinagar IIT Patna
8	IIT Bhubaneswar	http://library.iitbbs.ac.in/		IIT-ISM Dhanbad
9	IIT Hyderabad	http://library.iith.ac.in/		IIT Roorkee IIT Madras
10	IIT Jodhpur	http://library.iitj.ac.in/	36 - 70	IIT Madras
11	IIT Patna	http://library.iitp.ac.in/	Average	IIT Guwahati
12	IIT Indore	http://library.iiti.ac.in/	2	IIT Mandi
13	IIT Mandi	http://library.iitmandi.ac.in/		IIT-BHU Varanasi
14	IIT (ISM) Dhanbad	http://www.ismlib.ac.in/		IIT Hyderabad IIT Indore
	Table 2—List	of NIT library websites		IIT Jodhpur IIT Ropar IIT Tirupati
Sl. no.	Name of the NIT	URL of the Library website	1 – 35 Needs Improvement	IIT Tirupati IIT Palakkad
1	NIT Surathkal	http://library.nitk.ac.in/	But the study further reve	als that total seven
2	NIT Calicut	http://www.library.nitc.ac.in/	engineering institutes (one II	
3	NIT Rourkela	http://library.nitrkl.ac.in/	under the needs improvement	

for the library professionals to communicate the information relating to the library with their users on an online mode. The present study reveals that out of the 19 IITs taken for the study only 74% of IITs (14) have a separate dedicated library website and only 17% of NITs (5), out of 29 NITs taken for the study, have their separate dedicated library website. So, it was observed that among the NITs only a few have made a separate library website for their respective library. The list of the URLs of IITs and NITs library website are given below under Table 1 and Table 2.

http://online.mnit.ac.in/Library/

http://www.kic.nitsikkim.ac.in/

NIT Sikkim

Malaviya NIT Jaipur

4

5

Total score of content analysis and evaluation reatures of IITs and NITs libraries' website/web page

With the design of the quantitative five-point rating scale discussed in the methodology the point was derived on the basis of the maximum score of 172 evaluation criteria. The study tries to find out the best web content available in the libraries' website of IITs and NITs. The study reveals that no IITs and NITs libraries' website comes under the excellent 'more than 141' and very good '106-140' grading systems.

n 11 under the needs improvement '1 - 35' grading system. The lists of the total point scored by IITs and NITs have given in Tables 3 and 4.

Ranking of IITs and NITs Libraries' Website/Web Page on the basis of web Content

The ranking of the IITs and NITs libraries' website/web page is listed under the Table 5. The ranking of the institutes have been done according to the score point obtained by the libraries' website/web page based on the availability of the web contents. It is found that IIT Kanpur (102.5) scores the highest point followed by IIT Bombay (95) among the IITs whereas among the NITs, NIT Rourkela library website scores the first which is placed in the ninth position among all the engineering institutes taken for the study. NIT Agartala placed the last position among the engineering institutes. The list of the institutes according to their rank on the availability of the web contents in their respective library website/web page is shown in Table 5.

Conclusion

In the present era, the web has become the master source of information in disseminating the

Table 4—Total point scored by NITs		Table 5-	-Ranking of IITs and NITs Lib		
Score	Point	Name of the NITs		Page based on the total	score — <i>Contd</i>
More Excel	than 141 lent	-	Rank	Name of the Institute	Total Score
106 –	- 140	-	14	MNIT Jaipur	68
Very	Good		15	IIT Mandi	67.5
71 – 1		NIT Rourkela	16	NIT Surathkal	66.5
Good			16	NIT Hamirpur	66.5
36 - 7		NIT Silchar	17	IIT-BHU Varanasi	65
Avera	ige	NIT Jamshedpur	18	IIT Hyderabad	62.5
		MNIT Jaipur NIT Surathkal	19	IIT Indore	61
		NIT Hamirpur	20	NIT Goa	60
		NIT Goa	21	NIT Sikkim	59.5
		NIT Sikkim	22	NIT Calicut	59
		NIT Calicut	22	IIT Jodhpur	59
		VNIT Nagpur	23	VNIT Nagpur	58.5
		MNNIT Allahabad NIT Tiruchirappali	24	MNNIT Allahabad	58
		NIT Warangal	24	NIT Tiruchirappalli	58
		NIT Raipur	25	IIT Ropar	56.5
		SVNIT Surat	26	NIT Warangal	54
		NIT Delhi	27	NIT Raipur	53
		MANIT Bhopal	27	SVNIT Surat	53
		NIT Durgapur NIT Jalandhar	28	NIT Delhi	51.5
		NIT Uttarakhand	20 29	MANIT Bhopal	50
		NIT Srinagar	30	NIT Durgapur	48
		NIT Nagaland	31	NIT Jalandhar	45.5
		NIT Arunachal Pradesh	32	NIT Uttarakhand	40.5
1 – 35		NIT Mizoram	33	NIT Srinagar	38
Needs	s Improvement	NIT Manipur	33	NIT Nagaland	38
		NIT Meghalaya NIT Patna	33	NIT Arunachal Pradesh	38
		NIT Kurukshetra	34	IIT Tirupati	37.5
		NIT Agartala	35	IIT Palakkad	35
			36	NIT Mizoram	31
Table 5		ITs Libraries' Website/Web	30	NIT Manipur	28
	Page based on th	e total score	38	NIT Meghalaya	26.5
Rank	Name of the Institute	Total Score	39	NIT Patna	24.5
1	IIT Kanpur	102.5	39 40	NIT Faila NIT Kurukshetra	24.5
2	IIT Bombay	95	40 41	NIT Agartala	15.5
2	IIT Kharagpur	93	41	тит Аданана	15.5
4	IIT Delhi	85	information	ion. So, the evaluation o	f libraries' website
4 5	IIT Gandhinagar	83 84	must be	done frequently so as to	check the structure
	IIT Patna	84 83	and the	web content and informat	ion available in the
6	III Fallia	65	wahaita	It is necessary to evaluate	the websites based

information. So, the evaluation of libraries' website must be done frequently so as to check the structure and the web content and information available in the website. It is necessary to evaluate the websites based on certain criteria. For this reason the present study was undertaken and on basis of the existing criteria, the researcher formulated 172 parameters so as to help in evaluating the library website.

References

79.5

71.5

77

71

70

69

69

Contd -

69.5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

13

IIT-ISM Dhanbad

IIT Roorkee

IIT Madras

NIT Silchar

IIT Guwahati

IIT Bhubaneswar

NIT Jamshedpur

NIT Rourkela

1. Brower S M, Academic health sciences library website navigation: an analysis of forty-one Websites and their

navigation tools, *Journal of Medical Library Association*, 92 (4) (2004) 412-420.

- Houghton D, Building an academic library website: experiences at De Montfort University, *Program*, 34 (3) (2000) 269-280.
- 3. Sarkar T D, Impact of online interactivity dimensions on library website quality, *Annals of Library and Information Studies*, 59 (2012) 231-239.
- Fogg B J, Marshall J, Laraki O, Osipovich A, Varma C, Fang N, Paul J, Rangnekar A, Shon J, Swani P and Treinen M, What makes web sites credible? A report on a large quantitative Study, *CHI*, 3 (1) (2001) 61-68.
- Kannappanavar B U and Biradar S B, Credibility of dental college websites in Karnataka, *International Journal of Digital Library Services*, 1 (1) (2011) 62-70.
- George C A, Usability testing and design of a library website: an iterative approach, OCLC Systems & Services, 21 (3) (2005) 167-180.
- Islam A and Tsuji K, Evaluation of usage of university websites in Bangladesh, *DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technologyy*, 31(6) (2011) 469-479.
- 8. Walia P K and Gupta M, Usability analysis of homepage of websites of National Libraries in Asia, *Library Philosophy and Practice*, (2013) 1-20.
- 9. Clyde L A, The library as information provider: the home page, *The Electronic Library*, 14 (6) (1996) 549-558.
- 10. Shukla A and Tripathy A, Establishing content awareness evaluation criteria for library website: a case study of Indian academic library websites, *Annals of Library and Information Studies*, 57 (2010) 403-416.
- Kalra J and Verma R K, Evaluation indicators of library websites of selected research institutions in India, *Annals of Library and Information Studies*, 58 (2011) 139-150.
- Clausen H, Evaluation of library Web sites: the Danish case, *The Electronic Library*, 17 (2) (1999) 83-87.
- 13. Osorio N L, Web sites of science-engineering libraries: an analysis of content and design, *Issues in Science and Technology Librarianship*, (2001) 1-13.

- Still J M, A content analysis of university library web sites in English speaking countries, *Online Information Review*, 25 (3) (2001) 150-165.
- 15. Adams K and Cassner M, Content and design of academic library web sites for distance learners: an analysis of ARL libraries, *Faculty Publications, UNL Libraries*, (2002) 3-13.
- 16. Clyde L A, School library web sites: 1996-2002, *The Electronic Library*, 22 (2) (2004) 158-167.
- 17. Michalec M, A Content analysis of art library web sites, Journal of the Art Libraries Society of North America, 25 (2) (2006) 46-54.
- 18. Qutab S and Mahmood K, Library web sites in Pakistan: an analysis of content, *Program*, 43(4) (2009) 430-445.
- Aharony N, An analysis of American academic libraries' websites: 2000-2010, *The Electronic Library*, 30(6) (2012) 764-776.
- Yazdi F A and Deshpande N J, Evaluation of selected library associations' web sites, ASLIB Proceedings, 65(2) (2013) 92-108.
- 21. Wilson D E, Web content and design trends of Alabama academic libraries, *The Electronic Library*, 33 (1) (2015) 88-102.
- 22. Devi K K and Verma M K, Conceptual approach of web content analysis: a tool to analyses library website to improve their web based services in academic institutions.In D K Shrivastava, Anil Kumar Jharotia, Vailbhav Bansal, and Rahul Mittal (Eds.), Contemporary Innovations in Library Information Science, Social Science and Technology for Virtual World, (2016) 132-138.
- 23. Devi K K and Verma M K, Comparison of design and content features of North Eastern Hill University (NEHU) and Mizoram University (MZU) Websites: A Study, *World Digital Libraries: An International Journal*, 9 (1) (2016) 19-32.
- Verma M K and Devi K K, Web content and design trends of Indian Institutes of Management (IIMs) libraries website: an analysis, *DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology*, 36 (4) (2016) 220-227.
- 25. Shukla A and Tripathy A, (2015). Webometric Studies and Libraries. New Delhi: Ess Ess Publications.

Annexure I

CONTENT ANALYSIS EVALUATION CRITERIA OF THE LIBRARY WEBSITE/WEB PAGE OF IITs AND NITS LIBRARIES

1. Does the library have separate website for itself?

Yes a) ()[2 Point] b) No ()* *If no then, Does the library have a separate webpage? Yes [1 Point] a) () b) No ()# #If no then, Does the library web page is dedicated to its main institute's website? a) Yes () ^{1/2} Point] b) No ()[0 Point] 2. Do the library website/ web page has direct link on parent's home page? [2 Point] a) Yes () b) No ()* *If no then, Does the library web page/website link under facilities, quick links, sections, resources, campus infrastructure, etc. with the title "Central Library" or "Library"? Yes ()[1 Point] a) [0 Point] b) No ()3. Does the page title appear in the top window bar? [2 Point] a) Yes () b) No [0 Point] () 4. Does the library web page/website have the home link to go back to their Home page? a) Yes ()[2 Point] b) No ()[0 Point] 5. Does the title describe content or location in site structure? Yes ()[2 Point] a) b) No ()[0 Point] 6. Whether the view resolution is suggested in the respective library website/web page? Yes ()[2 Point] a) b) No ()[0 Point] 7. Does the library website/web page provide information about the browser compatibility respectively? a) Yes ()[2 Point] b) No ()[0 Point] 8. Does the site's page format is followed same in all the web pages? a) Yes () [2 Point] No [0 Point] b) ()9. Whether the library website/web page gives information about the number of visitors of the page? Yes ()[2 Point] a) b) No ()[0 Point] 10. Does the library web page/website use any graphics along with the text for communication with the users? [2 point]* a) Yes () b) No ()[0 point]# *If yes then, Whether the graphics used in the library website/web page are animated? Yes [1 Point] a) ()

b) No () [0 Point]

Does the	library w	veb page/	website use only text and not graphics for communicating with the users?
a)	Yes	()	[1 point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]
11. Does	the libra	ry websit	e/web page offer information in more than one language?
a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]*
b)	No	()	[0 Point]#
*If yes th			
Whether	the librar	ry website	e/web page offers information in English and Hindi language?
a)	Yes	()	[1 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]
#If no th	- ,		
	•		eb page offer information only in English language?
a)	Yes	()	[1 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]
12. If the	e library v	website/w	eb page offers information in multi languages?
a)	Yes	()	[1 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]
If yes the	en please	specify th	e language
12 Door	tha libra	m wah n	are/wahaita provida postal address of the library?
15. Does a)	Yes	ry web pa	age/website provide postal address of the library? [2 Point]
a) b)	No	()	[0 Point]
0)	NO	()	[0 Folint]
14. Does	the libra	ry web pa	age/website provide phone no. of the library or a concerned person?
a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]
15 Door	tha libra	m wah n	age/website provide the fax no. of the library?
		-	
a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]
16. Whet	ther the li	ibrary we	b page/website provides the email address of the librarian/library?
a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]
17. Whet	ther the li	ibrary we	b page/website provides any separate contact link?
a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]
,		. ,	
			age/website provide feedback form or email link?
a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]
19. Does	the libra	ry web pa	ge/website provide search option in any corner of their page?
a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]
, ,			
		•	b page/website provides the sitemap of the library?
a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]
21. Does	the libra	ry websit	e/web page give information about the FAQs?
a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
1-)	No	()	[0 Point]
b)	INU		
			are/website provide information about the convright of the site?
22. Does	the libra	ry web pa	age/website provide information about the copyright of the site?
22. Does a)	the libra Yes	ry web pa	[2 Point]*
22. Does	the libra Yes No	ry web pa	

246

Whether the library web page/website provides any information about the maintenance of the site?

Whether the library web pa	ge/website provides any information about the maintenance of the site?
a) Yes ()	[1 Point]
b) No ()	[0 Point]
23. Does the library web pa	age/website provide the date of updation?
a) Yes ()	[2 Point]*
b) No ()	[0 Point]
*If yes then,	L
	updated in the past three months?
a) Yes ()	[1 Point]
b) No ()	[0 Point]
	e/web page have given the present date respectively?
	[2 Point]
a) Yes () b) No ()	[0 Point]
-	e/web page provide information about the genesis of the library or an introduction of the library?
a) Yes ()	[2 Point]
b) No ()	[0 Point]
26. Does the library websit	e/web page provide information regarding the aims/objective/mission/statement of the library?
a) Yes ()	[2 Point]
b) No ()	[0 Point]
	age/website provide any information on library news and events in their respective site?
a) Yes ()	[2 Point]
b) No ()	[0 Point]
28. Does the library web pa	age/website have provided any information for working hours of the library?
a) Yes ()	[2 Point]
b) No ()	[0 Point]
29 Does the library web p	age/website provide information about library rules in their site respectively?
a) Yes ()	[2 Point]
b) No ()	[0 Point]
-	e/web page provide information regarding user membership detail?
a) Yes ()	[2 Point]
b) No ()	[0 Point]
31. Does the library web pa	age/website provide information about the staff of the library?
a) Yes ()	[2 Point]*
b) No ()	[0 Point]
*If yes then,	
	information about the library advisory committee?
a) Yes ()	[1 Point]#
b) No ()	[0 Point]
#If yes then,	
Does the library website/w	eb page provide additional information about their library purchase committee?
a) Yes ()	[1 Point]
b) No ()	[0 Point]
32 Does the library makeit	e/web page provide library brochure respectively?
-	[2 Point]
a) Yes () b) No ()	[2 Point] [0 Point]
$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$	[0 I OIIII]
33. Does the library websit	e/web page provide information about the infrastructure facilities of the library?
a) Yes ()	[2 Point]*
b) No ()	[0 Point]
*If yes then	

*If yes then,

Does the library website/web page provide information about the IT infrastructure facilities of the library?

a)	Yes	()	[1 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]

(Or)

Does the library website/web page provide information about the physical facilities (floor space/sitting capacity/water coolers/computer terminals, etc) of the library?

- a) Yes ()[1 Point]
- [0 Point] b) No ()
- 34. Does the library website/web page provide any facility for help or help link to their clientele?
 - Yes [2 Point] a) ()No [0 Point] b) ()
- 35. Does the library website/web page provide any information regarding ask the librarian respectively?
 - Yes () [2 Point] a)
 - b) No ()[0 Point]

36. Does the library website/web page provide academic calendar in their site?

a) [2 Point] Yes () b) No ()[0 Point]

37. Whether the library website/web page provides information about the holidays of the library?

- Yes [2 Point] a) ()b) No [0 Point]
- ()

38. Does the library website/ web page provide information about their future plans?

- a) Yes () [2 Point]
- b) No ()[0 Point]

39. Does the library website/web page provide information about their achievements respectively?

- a) Yes () [2 Point]
- b) No () [0 Point]

40. Does the library website/web page provide information about the budget allocation of the library?

a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]

41. Does the library website/web page provide information about the physical location of the library?

a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
1.5	NT	$\langle \rangle$	IO D · (1

b) No ()[0 Point]

42. Does the library website/web page provide information about the floor plan/location guide in the library?

- Yes ()[2 Point] a)
- [0 Point] b) No ()

43. Does the library website/web page provide photo gallery in their respective site?

a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
----	-----	-----	-----------

b)	No	()	[0 Point]
----	----	-----	-----------

44. Does the library website/web page provide information about the library resources in their site respectively?

- a) Yes ()[2 Point] b) No ()[0 Point]
- 45. Does the library web page/website have separate web page/hyperlink for Web OPAC/Online Catalogue?
 - a) Yes () [2 Point]*
 - b) No

*If no. then

Does the library web page/website provide only information regarding Web OPAC/ Online Catalogue?

- a) Yes ()[1 Point]
- b) No ()[0 Point]

46. Does the library website/web page provide information about the bibliographical databases in their site?

[2 Point] a) Yes ()

248

b) No () [0 Point]

47. Does the library website/web page provide information or access to other online reference sources such as, dictionaries, encyclopaedia, etc. to their site respectively?

a) Yes () [2 Point]

b) No () [0 Point]

48. Does the library website/web page provide links to online catalogue of other libraries?

a) Yes ()	[2 Point]
----------	---	-----------

b) No () [0 Point]

49. Does the library website/web page provide information about their collections in the library?

- a) Yes () [2 Point]
- b) No () [0 Point]

Note: If the library web page/website provides information of the following library collections then add half ($\frac{1}{2}$) mark for each of the following mentioned here:

i.	Books	()	[1/2 Point]
ii.	Journals	()	[1/2 Point]
iii.	References Sources	()	[¹ /2 Point]
iv.	Reports	()	[¹ /2 Point]
v.	Theses/Dissertations	()	[¹ /2 Point]
vi.	Newspaper/Magazines	()	[1/2 Point]
vii.	Conference Proceedings	()	[¹ /2 Point]
viii.	Monographs	()	[1/2 Point]
ix.	Archives	()	[1/2 Point]
x.	Audio-visual material	()	[1/2 Point]
xi.	CD/DVDs / CD ROM	()	[1/2 Point]
xii.	Microforms	()	[1/2 Point]
xiii.	Microfilms	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xiv.	Newsletters	()	[1/2 Point]
XV.	Patents	()	[1/2 Point]
xvi.	Standards	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xvii.	Pamphlets	()	[1/2 Point]
xviii.	Ongoing Projects	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xix.	Others x n	()	[½ x n Point]

50. Does the library web page/website provide any information regarding library services in their site respectively?

a) Yes () [2 Point]

b) No () [0 Point]

Note: If the library web page/website provides information of the following library services then add half (½) mark for each of the following mentioned here:

i.	Circulation Service	()	[1/2 Point]
ii.	Research & Reference Service	()	[1/2 Point]
iii.	Referral Service	()	[¹ /2 Point]
iv.	Bindery Service	()	[¹ /2 Point]
v.	User education/orientation/awarene	ess ()	[¹ /2 Point]
vi.	Inter-library loan	()	[¹ /2 Point]
vii.	Document Delivery Service	()	[¹ /2 Point]
viii.	Reprographic Service	()	[¹ /2 Point]
ix.	CAS	()	[¹ /2 Point]
x.	SDI	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xi.	Newspaper Clippings	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xii.	Bibliographic Service	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xiii.	Resource/ Subject Guides	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xiv.	Book Bank	()	[1/2 Point]
XV.	Video viewing facility	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xvi.	Bulletin Board Service	()	[1/2 Point]

xvii.	Extension Service	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xviii.	Courseware	()	[1/2 Point]
xix.	List of course reading materials	()	[1/2 Point]
XX.	Book Purchase Details	()	[1/2 Point]
xxi.	Status of book acquisition	()	[1/2 Point]
xxii.	Book Purchase Recommendation	()	[1/2 Point]
xxiii.	Book Reservation/renew/generate pin facility	()	[1/2 Point]
xxiv.	Theses submitted to the dept.	()	[1/2 Point]
XXV.	External Membership	()	[1/2 Point]
xxvi.	Online address book	()	[1/2 Point]
xxvii.	Other collections (Hindi, etc)	()	[1/2 Point]
xxviii.	Forms	()	[1/2 Point]
xxix.	Telephone directory	()	[1/2 Point]
XXX.	Latest publication information (Faculty/students)	()	[1/2 Point]
xxxi.	Others x n	()	[½ x n Point]

51. Does the library website/web page gives information regarding the e-resources provided in the library for their clientele?

a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]

Note: If the library web page/website provides information regarding the e-resources mentioned in the following then add half $(\frac{1}{2})$ mark for each of the following mentioned here:

i. E-Journal ()	[¹ /2 Point]
ii. E-Books ()	[1/2 Point]
iii. E-Databases ()	[1/2 Point]
iv. E-theses & dissertations ()	[¹ /2 Point]
v. Institutional Repository ()	[¹ /2 Point]
vi. E-Question Paper ()	[1/2 Point]
vii. Online Newspaper ()	[1/2 Point]
viii. Standards Databases (ASME, ASTM, IEEE, ISO, CMIE, IEC etc.) ()	[1/2 Point]
ix. NPTEL Video Lecture ()	[1/2 Point]
x. MOOC ()	[¹ /2 Point]
xi. Links to Library Networks ()	[¹ /2 Point]
xii. Library Consortium ()	[¹ /2 Point]
xiii. E-Newsletter ()	[1/2 Point]
xiv. Others x n ()	[1/2 x n Point]

52. Does the library website/web page give information about the value added services offered for their clientele?

a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]
b)	No	()	[0 Point]

Note: If the library web page/website provides information of the following value added services then add half (1/2) mark for each of the services mentioned here:

i.	Open Access Resources	()	[¹ /2 Point]
ii.	Information Alert Service (Email) / Article	()	[¹ /2 Point]
iii.	New Arrival List	()	[¹ /2 Point]
iv.	Link to other online repositories	()	[¹ /2 Point]
v.	Moodle	()	[¹ /2 Point]
vi.	Live Chat with the librarian	()	[¹ /2 Point]
vii.	Link to online news channels	()	[¹ /2 Point]
viii.	Virtual reference collection (citation style, e-print archive, etc.)	()	[¹ /2 Point]
ix.	Mobile library app	()	[¹ /2 Point]
X.	Anti-Plagiarism/Fair use/copyright (inf. or checking services)	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xi.	Remote Access	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xii.	Children's Library Corner	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xiii.	Others x n	()	[1/2 x n Point]

250

53. Does the library website/web page provide information about the sections of the library respectively?

	a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]		
	b)	No	()	[0 Point]		
	Note	e: If the library web page/web	site provi	des inform	nation a	bout the sections mentioned below then add half (1/2) mark
		for each of the services menta	oned here	:		
	i.	Periodical Section			()	[¹ / ₂ Point]
	ii.	Circulation section			()	[1/2 Point]
	iii.	Acquisition section			()	[¹ / ₂ Point]
	iv.	Technical Processing secti	on		()	[1/2 Point]
	v.	Digital Library section			()	[¹ / ₂ Point]
	vi.	Book Bank section			()	[1/2 Point]
	vii.	Stack section			()	[¹ / ₂ Point]
	viii.	Micrographic/photocopy s	ection		()	[¹ / ₂ Point]
	ix.	Reference & Readers Advi	sory Serv	ice	()	[1/2 Point]
	х.	Automation Section			()	[1/2 Point]
	xi.	Information resource acces	ss & user s	services	()	[¹ / ₂ Point]
	xii.	Administration			()	[¹ / ₂ Point]
	xiii.	Common Room			()	[¹ / ₂ Point]
	xiv.	Study Room			()	[1/2 Point]
	XV.	Meeting Room			()	[¹ / ₂ Point]
	xvi.	Others x n			()	[½ x n Point]
54.1	Does	the library website/web page	provide se	parate lin	k for the	e web 2.0 tools?
	a)	Yes	()	[2 Point]		
	b)	No	()	[0 Point]		
		he library web page/website p ving mentioned below:	rovides in	formation	of the	following Web 2.0 tools then add half (1/2) mark for each of
	i.	RSS	()	[1/2 Point]		
	ii.	Facebook	()	[1/2 Point]		

ii.	Facebook	()	[¹ /2 Point]
iii.	YouTube	()	[¹ /2 Point]
iv.	Twitter	()	[1/2 Point]
v.	Blog	()	[1/2 Point]
vi.	LinkedIn	()	[¹ /2 Point]
vii.	Google+	()	[1/2 Point]
viii.	Wiki	()	[1/2 Point]
ix.	Instagram	()	[1/2 Point]
x.	ReseachGate	()	[1/2 Point]
xi.	Pinterest	()	[¹ /2 Point]
xii.	Skype	()	[1/2 Point]
xiii.	Others x n	()	[½ x n Point]

55. Does the library website/web page give information about the events held in the library or for the library?

a)	Yes		()	[2 Point]
----	-----	--	---	---	-----------

b)	No	()	[0 Point]
----	----	-----	-----------

Note: If the library web page/website provides information about following events then add $(\frac{1}{2})$ mark for each of the following mentioned below:

i.	Conferences	()	[1/2 Point]
ii.	Seminars	()	[¹ /2 Point]
iii.	Workshops	()	[¹ /2 Point]
iv.	Book Fair	()	[¹ /2 Point]
v.	Training Programs	()	[¹ /2 Point]
vi.	Others x n	()	[1/2 x n Point]

NOTE: To calculate the point for overall ranking is on the basis of total score point earned by the particular institute.